



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,951	01/15/2002	William Kress Bodin	AUS920010850US1	4423
34533	7590	01/27/2006	EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL CORP (BLF) c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP P.O. BOX 1469 AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469			PATEL, DHAIRYA A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,951	BODIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dhairya A. Patel	2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2005.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication filed on 10/25/2005.
2. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/25/2005 are deemed moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1,4-6,9-11,14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mousseau et al. U.S. Patent # 6,438,585 (hereinafter Mousseau).

As per claim 1, Mousseau teaches a method of email administration comprising the steps of:

-receiving through a transcoding gateway (Fig. 6 element 210) an

email message (Fig 6 element 200), wherein the email message comprises at least one digital object having a digital object type (Fig.6 element 200, 200B) (column 15 lines 45-65);

The reference teaches receiving an datagram (email message) in the mobile device or the desktop system through the relay device (transcoding gateway) and the datagram (email message) containing an attachment (Fig. 6 element 200,200B).

-the transcoding gateway (Fig. 6 element 210) is coupled to one or more display devices (Fig. 6 element 216) (column 15 lines 27-36), and

The reference teaches relay device (gateway) is couple to mobile device, printer, fax, telephone, cellular phone (one or more display device).

-the transcoding gateway comprises, for each display device, a display device record comprising display format attributes of each display device, wherein the display format attributes include a display format type for each display device (column 16 lines 4-42);

The reference teaches having an attachment display devices (display device) and attachment display device sends the mobile device information on the type of attachment files they accept (display format attributes includes a display format type for each display device) in the database of the attachment displays.

-finding a display device record for a destination display device, wherein the destination display device comprises a display device having a display format type that is the same as the digital object type (column 16 lines 4-42, lines 64-67)(column 17 lines 1-16);

-transcoding the digital object in dependence upon the display format attributes of the destination display device (column 16 lines 64-67)(column 17 lines 1-16); and

The reference teaches reconfiguring (transcoding) the attachment (digital object) is found in a compatible format as the attachment displayers (destination device), the attachment displayers views the attachment in the compatible format, if the attachment is not in a compatible format it is then converted to the suited format by the host system to one of attachment displayers.

-displaying the transcoded digital object on the destination display device (column 16 lines 64-67)(column 17 lines 1-16)(column 18 lines 31-38).

The reference teaches viewing the converted attachment (transcoded digital object) on the attachment display or the mobile phone (destination display device).

As per claim 4, Mousseau teaches the method of claim 1 wherein displaying the transcoded digital object on the destination display device further comprises writing the transcoded digital object to display memory (column 18 lines 34-38).

The reference teaches sending or pushing (writing) the converted attachment to the mobile device which inherently contains memory (display memory).

As per claim 5, Mousseau teaches the method of claim 1 wherein displaying the transcoded digital object on the destination display device further comprises sending the transcoded digital object to a browser (column 18 lines 34-38) (column 4 lines 58-67)(column 5 lines 1-11).

The reference teaches sending the converted attachment to the mobile device, which contains a browser because mobile device is used to view web pages (i.e. browser) (column 5 lines 1-11).

As per claim 6,9-10 respectively, teaches same limitation as claims 1,4-5 respectively, therefore rejected under same basis.

As per claim 11,14-15 respectively, teaches same limitation as claims 1,4-5 respectively, therefore rejected under same basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-3,7-8,12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mousseau et al. U.S. Patent # 6,438,585 (hereinafter Mousseau) in view of Malik et al U.S. Patent # 6,907,452 (hereinafter Malik).

As per claim 2, Mousseau teaches the method of claim 1, transcoding gateway (Fig. 6 element 210)but fails to teach the gateway comprises an email client, a web browser, and an HTTP server. Malik teaches gateway comprises an email client, a web browser and an HTTP server (Fig. 7)(Fig. 8) (column 6 lines 55-67)(column 7 lines 1-14). Malik teaches an HTTP server, an web server (which is going to include a web browser) and a post office server (email client) because post office server is in charge of transmitting the email (Fig. 7)(Fig. 8)(column 6 lines 55-67)(column 7 lines 1-14). It

Art Unit: 2151

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of applicant's invention was made to implement Malik's teaching in Mousseau's teaching to come up with having an gateway with an e-mail client, HTTP server and a web browser. The motivation for doing so would having been so that when the gateway receives the email it can view the email using the browser or it could act as an email to transmit or forward the email to the other email client.

As per claim 3, Mousseau teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the client device (Fig. 6 element 214B) comprises a display device (Fig. 6 element 214B) and a microcomputer (Fig. 6 element 214B).

Mousseau teaches a mobile device (client device) which is comprises a display device (Fig. 6 element 216) or the mobile device is going to have a display to view the attachment and an microcomputer because all the mobile devices contain processors (microcomputer).

Mousseau fails to teaches wherein the microcomputer further comprises the transcoding gateway, an email client, a web browser, and an HTTP server, wherein the transcoding gateway, the email client, the web browser, and the HTTP server are coupled for data communications. Malik teaches microcomputer comprises the gateway, an email client, a web browser and an HTTP server where they gateway, email client ,and the web browser and the HTTP server are coupled for data communication (Fig. 7)(Fig. 8)(column 6 lines 55-67)(column 7 lines 1-14).

Malik on the other hand teaches a microcomputer with an gateway, an HTTP server, an web server (which is going to include a web browser) and a post office server

(email client) because post office server is in charge of transmitting the email and all are coupled for data communications (Fig. 7)(Fig. 8)(column 6 lines 55-67)(column 7 lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of applicant's invention was made to implement Malik's teaching in Mousseau's teaching to come up with having a microcomputer with an gateway with an e-mail client, HTTP server and a web browser. The motivation for doing so would have been so that when the gateway receives the email it can view the email using the browser or it could act as an email to transmit or forward the email to the other email client..

As per claim 7-8 respectively, teaches same limitation as claims 2-3 respectively, therefore rejected under same basis.

As per claim 12-13 respectively, teaches same limitation as claims 2-3 respectively, therefore rejected under same basis.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A). "Rerouting/reformatting wireless messages for cross connectivity between service providers" by Moran et al. U.S. Patent Publication # 2003/0104827.

6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the applicant (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dhairy A Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4066. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAP



ZARNI MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER